

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/538,396	03/29/2000	Pramod B. Mahajan	1116	6440	
27310 7.	590 06/18/2002				
	-BRED INTERNAT	IONAL INC.	EXAMI	EXAMINER	
7100 N.W. 62N P.O. BOX 1000		Pramod B. Mahajan 02	IBRAHIM, MEDINA AHMED		
JOHNSTON, I	A 50131		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1638	1:0	
			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2002	13	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/538,396	MAHAJAN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Medina Ibrahim	1638			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 F	ebruary 2002 and 16 April 2002				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex-parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-8 and 12-15</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) 🗌	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 2-8 and 12-15 is/are rejected.					
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) 🔲 -	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) 🔲 -	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b) objected to by the Exar	miner.			
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, ,			
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the control of the prior of the	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment	c(s)					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Tr	ademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/538, 396 Page 2

Art Unit: 1638

DETAILED ACTION

The text of these sections of the Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a

prior Office action.

Claims 2-8 and 12-15 are pending in this application and are under examination.

Claims 12-15 are newly added.

Applicants' response filed on 2/14/02 and the Supplemental response of 4/16/02 have been

entered. It is noted that page 5 of the response of 2/14/02 is missing. Applicants are required to

provide a substitute page in response to this Office action.

Withdrawn Rejections and Objections

The objection to the specification, the rejection under 112, 1st paragraph regarding

written description for claims 2-8, and the art rejection to claims 2-8 have been withdrawn in

view of Applicant's amendment to the claims in the responses filed 2/14/02 and 4/16/02. The

utility rejection for the entire SEQ ID NO:1 encoding SEQ ID NO:2 has been withdrawn, as the

Rad50 activity by SEQ ID NO:1 or 2 is an inherent property.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101 Utility

Claims 2-8 remain rejected and new claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101

because the claimed invention is not supported by either a credible asserted utility or a well

established utility. This rejection is repeated for the same reasons as set forth in pages 3-7 of the

Office action mailed on 11/19/01. Applicant's response of 2/14/02 has been considered but are

not fully persuasive.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/538, 396

Art Unit: 1638

Applicants' argument in pages 8-12 of the response of 4/16/02 regarding the substantial utility (Rad50 activity) of SEQ ID NO:1 encoding SEQ ID NO:2 is persuasive. However, Applicants' argument that utility is asserted for a polynucleotides having at least 85% sequence identity to SEQ ID NO:1, polynucleotides that hybridize thereto, complementary sequences, polynucleotides with at least 30 contiguous bases thereof, or a polynucleotide amplified from a Zea mays using primers which selectively hybridize under stringent conditions to loci within a polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO:1 and encoding a polypeptide having Rad50 activity is not persuasive because neither Applicants' specification nor the prior art teaches or provides guidance for how Rad50 activity can be assayed or tested. No working examples have been disclosed and no Rad50 activity have been confirmed for a polynucleotide having less than 100% of SEQ ID NO:1. Therefore, one skilled in the art would not be able to readily use a polynucleotide having less than 100% sequence identity to SEQ ID NO:1. Therefore, the utility rejection is made and maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, 1st paragraph

Claims 2-8 remain rejected and new claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a credible utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention without undue experimentation. Applicants should note that no working examples have been disclosed for a polynucleotide having less than 100% of SEQ ID NO:3 and still having Rad50 activity.

Application/Control Number: 09/538, 396 Page 4

Art Unit: 1638

Written Description

New claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This rejection is repeated for the same reasons set forth in page 8 of the last Office action, for claim 1(c)-8 of record. Applicants' arguments in pages 12-13 of the response of 2/14/02 have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

Applicants assert that the polynucleotide of claim13 has been described by chemical and physical characteristics which include the disclosure of SEQ ID NO:1, and the limitations that the polynucleotide is amplified from *Zea mays* a nucleic acid library and encode a polypeptide with Rad50 activity, and the primers must selectively hybridize under stringency conditions to loci within SEQ ID NO:1. Applicants assert that the guidance in the specification regarding amplification of polynucleotides, construction of a nucleic acid library, the disclosure of SEQ ID NO:1, and the defined parameters in the claim 13, would reasonably convey to one skilled in the art that Applicants had possession of the claimed invention. Therefore, it is requested that the rejection be withdrawn (p. 12-13 of the response). These arguments have been considered but are not found persuasive.

Applicants' assertions are incorrect because of the following reasons: firstly, the claim does not recite specific primers and specific stringency conditions for SEQ ID NO:1. Secondly,

Application/Control Number: 09/538, 396

Page 5

Art Unit: 1638

the claim requires that the primers selectively hybridize to loci within SEQ ID NO:1, but not to SEQ ID NO:1, therefore, the claim reads on a polynucleotide outside SEQ ID NO:1. Thirdly, even if the claimed polynucleotide encodes a polypeptide having Rad50 activity (an allelic variant of SEQ ID NO:1), the specific structure of the allelic variant is not known. The disclosure of SEQ ID NO:1 would not allow one skilled in the art to predictably determine what will be the structure of any allelic variant of SEQ ID NO:1 because there is no known correlation between structure and function of Rad50 DNA/protein. Therefore, a mere statement that the polynucleotide encodes Rad50 polypeptide does not rebut the Office's position. While Applicants are not required to disclose each and every member of the species encompassed by the claim or what is known in the prior art, the law requires that specification provides sufficient written description which would allow a skilled artisan to visualize or recognize the identity of the members of the genus. Therefore, absent further description, one skilled in the art would not recognize from the disclosure that Applicant was in possession of a polynucleotide amplified from a Zea mays using primers which selectively hybridize under stringent conditions to loci within a polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO:1.

The rejection is maintained.

Remarks

No claim is allowed.

Art Unit: 1638

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

1. Papers relating to this application may be submitted to Technology Sector 1 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Crystal Mall 1, Art Unit 1638, using fax number (703) 308-4242. All Technology Sector 1 fax machines are available to receive transmissions 24 hrs/day, 7 days/wk. Please note that the faxing of such papers must conform with the Notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30, (November 15, 1989).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Medina A. Ibrahim whose telephone number is (703) 306-5822. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday -Tuesday from 8:00AM to 4:00PM and Wednesday-Thursday from 9:00AM to 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Amy Nelson, can be reached at (703) 306-3218.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

June 16, 2002

mari

PHUONG T. BUI
PRIMARY EXAMINER